



1/25 2192

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 200308303-1

Inventor(s): Daniel J. Scales

Confirmation No.: 8427

Application No.: 09/757,764

Examiner: Michael J. Yigdoll

Filing Date: January 9, 2001

Group Art Unit: 2192

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR OPTIMIZING OPERATIONS VIA DATAFLOW ANALYSIS

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner For Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

- ☒ Response/Amendment
☐ New fee as calculated below
☒ No additional fee
☐ Other _____

- ☐ Petition to extend time to respond
☐ Supplemental Declaration

Fee\$

CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY						
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS		MINUS		= 0	X \$50	\$ 0
INDEP. CLAIMS		MINUS		= 0	X \$200	\$ 0
<input type="checkbox"/> FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					+ \$360	\$ 0
EXTENSION FEE	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Month \$120	<input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Month \$450	<input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Month \$1020	<input type="checkbox"/> 4th Month \$1590		\$ 0
OTHER FEES						\$
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT						\$ 0

Charge \$ 0 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Date: January 25, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel J. Scales

By

Patrick C. Keane

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg No.: 32,858

Date: January 25, 2006

Telephone: (703) 838-6522

I hereby certify that this document is being filed by personal delivery to the Customer Service Window Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314, of the United States Patent & Trademark Office on the date indicated above.

By: [Signature] 48,360
(Attorney Signature and Reg. No.)



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re Patent Application of

Daniel J Scales

Application No.: 09/757,764

Filed: January 9, 2001

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
OPTIMIZING OPERATIONS VIA
DATAFLOW ANALYSIS

)
)
) Group Art Unit: 2192

)
) Examiner: MICHAEL J YIGDALL

)
) Confirmation No.: 8427

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated November 1, 2005, reconsideration and allowance of the present application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-39 remain pending in the application.

In numbered paragraph 4, on page 2 of the Office Action, independent claims 1, 13 and 25, along with various dependent claims, are rejected as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,758,051 (Moreno et al.), in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,787,287 (Bharadwaj), U.S. Patent No. 6,077,313 (Ruf), and U.S. Patent No. 6,615,403 (Muthukumar et al.). In numbered paragraph 5, on page 11 of the Office Action, dependent claims 3, 4, 15, 16, 27 and 28 are rejected as being unpatentable over the Moreno et al. patent, in view of the Bharadwaj patent, the Ruf patent and the Muthukumar et al. patent, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,016,398 ('398 Radigan). In numbered paragraph 6, on page 13 of the Office Action, dependent claims 6, 18 and 30 are rejected as being unpatentable over the Moreno et al. patent, in view of the Bharadwaj patent, the Ruf patent, and the Muthukumar et al.